

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/710,850	WATANABE, HIDEO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael C. Zarroli	2839	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** rejected

 (1) Michael C. Zarroli (USPTO).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Song Zhu (aty).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 July 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8, 9

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In preparation for allowance, the examiner called the aty to question where in the specification & drawings the last two lines of claim 8 could be found. Support for these claim limitations could not be found. The aty and examiner agreed there might be a typo or missphrasing in these lines..